

LDL cholesterol management simplified in adults—Lower for longer is better: Guidance from the National Lipid Association

Elizabeth J. Jackson, MSN, ACNS-BC, CLS, FNLA, DipACLM*;
Kaye-Eileen Willard, MD, FNLA; Christie M. Ballantyne, MD, FNLA

On Behalf of the National Lipid Association, Jacksonville, FL, USA (Dr Jackson); Ascension SE Wisconsin Healthcare, All Saints and Franklin Campuses, Racine, WI, USA (Dr Willard); Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA (Dr Ballantyne)

KEYWORDS

LDL-C;
LDL-C management;
Dyslipidemia
management;
ASCVD risk;
Primary prevention

BACKGROUND: ASCVD remains the #1 cause of death in the United States and has been on the rise for more than a decade after more than 40 years of steady decline. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is a well-established causal factor for the development of ASCVD that should be monitored in a timely manner and may be modified through both lifestyle and pharmacological interventions.

Despite the existence of cholesterol guidelines, universal screening ages, risk assessment tools, and recommendations for LDL-C management based on risk, data show that LDL-C measurement and management in patients with ASCVD are not meeting guideline-directed objectives. Further, there is no single clinical guideline that presents LDL-C measurement frequency, risk assessment, management, and desirable LDL-C levels for adults based on risk.

OBJECTIVE: This document aims to summarize the numerous guidelines and recommendations from leading professional organizations to help clinicians and patients improve evidence-based measurement and management of LDL-C.

METHODS: Guidelines and updates from the American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association, National Lipid Association, and other relevant professional organizations were systematically reviewed. Key recommendations were synthesized and translated into a simplified, patient-centered message for clinical application.

RESULTS: The synthesis revealed consistent recommendations across major guidelines emphasizing early identification of risk, aggressive lipid lowering in high-risk populations, and the use of shared decision-making to improve adherence. The resulting simplified message aligns with current evidence and is intended to support clinical teams in delivering consistent, guideline-directed care.

CONCLUSION: Integrating major cardiovascular and lipid management guidelines into a unified, simplified message may improve provider clarity and patient understanding. This approach supports team-based care, reduces variation in practice, and enhances the implementation of evidence-based strategies to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk.

This document is designed to offer straightforward guidance to primary care providers in family practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology, and other clinicians who manage lipids.

* Corresponding author at: Diplomat of the American College of Lifestyle Medicine, Preventive Cardiology, Central Texas, USA.

E-mail address: lipidcns@gmail.com (E.J. Jackson).

Submitted May 27, 2025. Accepted for publication June 11, 2025.

1933-2874/© 2025 National Lipid Association. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2025.06.002>

Please cite this article as: Jackson et al., LDL cholesterol management simplified in (adults)—Lower for longer is better: Guidance from the National Lipid Association, Journal of Clinical Lipidology, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2025.06.002>

The primary goals for LDL-C management are to achieve an acceptable level for the patient's risk category and to maintain that over time because lower for longer is better to reduce ASCVD risk.

© 2025 National Lipid Association. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Key Points

1. Morbidity and mortality associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) are on the rise after decades of steady decline, with an increasing incidence observed in younger and middle-aged populations; ASCVD remains the #1 cause of death in the United States (US).¹
2. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) is the building block of arterial wall plaque.²
3. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is a validated marker for the amount of plasma LDL. It is a surrogate marker for the burden of circulating atherogenic lipoproteins which are the primary drivers of intimal plaque formation.³
4. Reducing LDL-C improves ASCVD outcomes.⁴
5. With treatment, ASCVD events are reduced proportionately to the degree of LDL-C lowering.⁵
6. The level of ASCVD risk should inform intensity of treatment to lower LDL-C.^{4,12}
7. Lipid panel testing should be done regularly in adults to evaluate ASCVD risk and to monitor effectiveness and adherence to LDL-C lowering treatment.⁵
8. Evidence shows that achieving LDL-C levels as low as 10 to 40 mg/dL is safe for patients.^{6,7}
9. Referrals to lipid specialists should be considered for care of the complex patient.²⁴
10. *The primary goals for LDL-C management are to achieve an acceptable level for the patient's risk category and to maintain that over time because lower for longer is better to reduce ASCVD risk.*

- Male sex
- Age
- Family history and genetic predisposition
- Overweight and obesity
- Smoking and other forms of nicotine use
- Hypertension
- Insulin resistance, prediabetes, diabetes mellitus
- Atherogenic lipoproteins including LDL-C, lipoprotein (a), and triglyceride-rich remnants
- Pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic conditions such as chronic systemic inflammatory diseases
- Poor sleep quality and/or quantity, as well as social determinants of health are emerging risk enhancing factors

ASCVD mortality rates have been on the rise since 2010, reversing a >4 decade trend of decline in age adjusted mortality rates due to ASCVD, with data indicating that estimated ASCVD prevalence in the US has increased from 18.3 million in 2014 to 24 million in 2019 with 31.2% considered to be very high-risk for recurrent events.⁸ There is also a disproportionate increase in rates of ASCVD in younger and middle-aged populations.

Primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD require an accurate estimation of risk of both future and recurrent cardiovascular events. Risk assessments must reflect a combination of clinical and demographic information, including information from lipid panel results.

The LDL-C level is the focus of this article and is a highly relevant biomarker (indicator) of ASCVD risk, with high LDL-C generally indicative of high ASCVD risk and very low LDL-C levels generally indicative of low ASCVD risk (in the absence of other major risk factors).³ While LDL-C remains the primary target for lipid-lowering therapy and is a strong marker of ASCVD risk, it does not fully capture the burden of atherogenic lipoproteins in all patients. In individuals with hypertriglyceridemia, diabetes, obesity, or metabolic syndrome, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C) and apolipoprotein B (apo B) offer superior risk assessment by accounting for all atherogenic particles. The National Lipid Association (NLA) and other major guidelines recommend consideration of apo B or non-HDL-C as secondary targets, particularly in high-risk patients or when triglyceride levels are elevated. Inclusion of these markers supports a more comprehensive approach to risk stratification and treatment optimization beyond LDL-C alone.⁹

Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) are reduced proportionately to the *degree of LDL-C lowering, the level of LDL-C lowering achieved, and the length of time over which a lower level is maintained.* There is a dose dependent, log-

Introduction

The wealth of accumulative data from clinical trials, genetic studies, registries, and real-world experiences, combined with the growing array of effective therapies and evolving treatment targets, has resulted in extensive and complex guidelines. These guidelines often vary among expert groups and can be challenging to interpret and tailor to individual patients. This article is intended to provide a clear and concise reference which simplifies LDL-C management for nonlipid specialists.

LDL-C as a biomarker of ASCVD risk

ASCVD is the leading cause of death in the US and globally, accounting for approximately 25% of all deaths in the US. Fixed and modifiable risk factors for the development of ASCVD include:




Universal Lipid Screening: Guideline-Directed Intervals			Additional Lipid Screening Intervals	
Children 9-11 Years Old	Young Adults 17-21 Years Old	Adults 21+ Years Old	4-12 Weeks	4-12 weeks after initiating lipid-lowering therapy or dose change to assess response & adherence.
			3-12 Months	Every 3-12 months thereafter to monitor response & adherence to lipid-lowering therapy.
			Annually	Individuals (1) at increased risk for heart disease, stroke, and other CVD risk or (2) on lipid-lowering therapy.
			Young Children	Children with a family history of (1) hypercholesterolemia or (2) early CVD should have lipids tested as early as 2 years of age.

Figure 1. Recommended lipid screening intervals based on age, cardiovascular risk, and treatment regimen to assess efficacy and adherence.^{4,11}

Abbreviation: CVD, cardiovascular disease.

linear, independent association between the magnitude of exposure to LDL-C and the risk of ASCVD events.⁴ Further, numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with LDL-C lowering medicines, including statin and nonstatin therapies, demonstrate a linear relationship between LDL-C reduction and relative ASCVD risk reduction. Together, these results have informed clinical guidelines, clinical practice, and the guidance supporting the view that lower for longer is better.⁹

Lipid panel/LDL-C screening frequency

National and international guidelines provide that all adults should have lipids evaluated at least every 5 years.⁴ Adults who are at increased risk due to diabetes, family history of elevated lipids, premature heart disease, or stroke should be checked more frequently (annually). Finally, individuals on lipid-lowering therapy should have lipids assessed 4-12 weeks after initiating therapy, or dose adjustment, and every 3 to 12 months thereafter to monitor therapeutic response and adherence, as appropriate (Figure 1).

For completeness, all children should have their lipid panel screened between the ages of 9 to 11 and again between the ages of 17 to 21.¹¹ If there is a family history of familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) or significant premature coronary disease in first degree relatives, testing as early as age 2 should be performed.

Desirable LDL-C targets for adults

LDL-C management should be individualized based on each patient's specific situation. Evidence supports an LDL-C target of <100 mg/dL for healthy adults in the general population. Individuals at risk for ASCVD, including those with very high baseline LDL-C levels suggestive of a genetic cause such as FH, individuals with multiple risk factors for ASCVD, or those already diagnosed with ASCVD, may require lower LDL-C levels. Higher plaque burden and higher plaque progression rate are situations where more intensive LDL-C lowering therapy should be considered.

Special considerations

- LDL-C >190 mg/dL in adults indicates severe hypercholesterolemia and may identify FH. The initial goal of therapy is at least a 50% reduction in LDL-C with adjustment based on comorbidities.¹²
- Following a major cardiovascular event occurring while on optimal therapy for lipid-lowering, further adjunctive therapy is warranted.

How low can you go? Safety of low LDL-C

With the pharmacological resources currently available, we can achieve unprecedented LDL-C reduction, which raises the question whether it is possible to achieve an LDL-C level that is "too low." RCTs, population, and genetic studies of low LDL-C provide insight.

Healthy infants are born with LDL-C levels between 30 and 70 mg/dL which remains low during the life stage with the greatest growth and development.⁶ Additionally, individuals who inherit genetic variants that cause lifetime exposure to low LDL-C appear to have normal life expectancy, no sign of end-organ compromise, or other related pathological conditions. The exception are those individuals who develop medical complications due to the presence of a rare genetic variant, whereby they are unable to form certain lipoprotein particles.¹³ Many studies point to the safety of low LDL-C levels and progressively lower risk of ASCVD events down to levels below 30 mg/dL. No evidence of harm has been identified including in other metabolic pathways which utilize cholesterol in their synthesis, such as neural sheath formation.¹⁴

Some studies have suggested potential associations between statin use and increased incidence or earlier diagnosis of diabetes, hemorrhagic stroke, and cataract formation. However, long-term follow-up studies are needed to determine causality. Currently the analysis of benefit compared to harm strongly favors statin use in those with sufficient ASCVD risk to warrant pharmacologic intervention.¹⁵

Lifestyle modification to lower LDL-C

Guidelines for treating conditions such as high LDL-C emphasize the importance of healthy lifestyle habits. These topics should be discussed with every patient at every visit:¹⁶

- Healthy eating patterns
- Regular exercise
- Adequate restorative sleep
- Avoiding risky behaviors (eg, tobacco use, excessive alcohol)
- Stress management
- Fostering healthy relationships

Nutrition and healthy dietary patterns play a crucial role in lowering and maintaining LDL-C levels. To improve dietary habits, patients should replace foods high in saturated fats and heavily processed items with options that are rich in fiber, such as fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and lean protein sources, all of which have been shown to help lower LDL-C and are consistent with a plant-forward approach. If cooking oil is used, oils rich in unsaturated fatty acids are preferred over saturated fatty acids. The following diagram, modified from *Nutrition Interventions for Adults with Dyslipidemia: A Clinical Perspective from the National Lipid Association*, depicts dietary patterns that are either favorable or detrimental to lipids and overall health.¹⁷

LDL-C pharmacological treatment

Patients at risk for ASCVD may benefit from pharmacotherapy, including those with very high baseline LDL-C levels suggestive of a genetic cause such as FH, individuals with multiple risk factors for ASCVD, or those already diagnosed with ASCVD.

Statin therapy, which is the cornerstone of pharmacotherapy for LDL-C reduction, reduces ASCVD risk in most individuals, and may be sufficient to reach desirable LDL-C levels. Based on the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists Collaboration meta-analysis of 27 statin RCT's (statin vs placebo and high intensity vs moderate intensity statin), for every 1 mmol/L (~39 mg/dL) reduction in LDL-C there is a corresponding 22% reduction in ASCVD event risk.¹⁸ It should be noted that statin therapy does not seem to reduce ASCVD in adults receiving hemodialysis or those who have significant heart failure. However, stopping statin therapy in these individuals is not advised if it is well tolerated. Additionally, other proven LDL-C lowering therapies have also been shown to safely lower LDL-C and reduce ASCVD risk and should be used if statin therapy alone does not achieve therapeutic objectives or is not tolerated.¹⁰

A subset of patients may be partially or completely intolerant to statins, have very high LDL-C levels that are not adequately reduced by tolerated statin doses, exhibit a refractory response to therapy due to genetic factors, or require other disease state medications that preclude statin use.¹⁹ In such cases, nonstatin therapies may be required to achieve optimal LDL-C reduction. Given the variability in individ-

ual response to statins, even at full therapeutic doses, *routine monitoring for both efficacy and tolerability is essential to guide ongoing management.*⁵

Use of statin monotherapy supported by lifestyle changes may be sufficient to reach desirable LDL-C levels; however, other proven pharmacotherapies are also available to optimize LDL-C. Based upon contemporary clinical trial evidence, LDL-C lowering therapy should start with statins, then if response is incomplete, or a statin regimen that is tolerated cannot be found, nonstatin LDL-C lowering pharmacotherapy should be considered. The specific medicine should be selected based upon a variety of factors including:

- Safety
- Tolerability
- LDL-C lowering efficacy
- RCT evidence supporting use
- Desirable LDL-C and expectations of treatment
- Patient preference
- Convenience and cost of therapy
- Availability

Nonstatin LDL-C lowering pharmacotherapy also reduces ASCVD risk. Contemporary RCTs demonstrate ASCVD risk reduction in adults when ezetimibe is added to moderate intensity statin. Similarly, those in whom proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 monoclonal antibody inhibition (PCSK9i) is added to high-intensity statin therapy, and even in individuals with stable ASCVD for whom PCSK9i are added to high-intensity statins, attain increased risk reduction.¹²

Bempedoic acid, another nonstatin, has demonstrated ASCVD risk reduction in high-risk adults, especially those with diabetes mellitus, who are on low dose or no statin because of statin intolerance. High dose icosapent ethyl has minimal impact on LDL-C, but demonstrated ASCVD risk reduction in adults with established ASCVD or high-risk patients with diabetes with hypertriglyceridemia.¹²

While niacin (Niacin) is no longer recommended as a routine therapy for LDL-C lowering or ASCVD risk reduction due to lack of incremental benefit when added to statins in contemporary trials, it may still have a limited role in select clinical scenarios. Historical data prior to the availability of statins, demonstrate that niacin (Niacin) monotherapy reduced ASCVD events and mortality in men with established cardiovascular disease. Although current guidelines favor other nonstatin therapies due to superior efficacy and tolerability, niacin (Niacin) may be considered in rare cases of multidrug intolerance or if cost or access constraints limit other options.²⁰

Bile acid sequestrants can be used in contemporary care, but they have a high degree of limiting side effects of bloating and constipation. Older trials suggested benefit from bile acid sequestrants, but this drug class has been mostly abandoned because of difficult side effects and modest potency.²¹

Two other pharmacotherapies are Food and Drug Administration-approved for LDL-C lowering specifically in

individuals with the rare condition homozygous FH: lomitapide and evinacumab, but further details will not be reviewed here.¹² Similarly, apheresis remains an option by which to achieve optimal LDL-C and lipoprotein (a) lowering, but these treatments are reserved for special situations that generally require a clinical lipid specialist.

Therefore, nonstatin medications are most often used as an adjunct to statin therapy, but in individuals who are unable to tolerate any dose of any statin, there is no contraindication to utilizing them as monotherapy.

The risk of ASCVD events is directly proportional to the achieved LDL-C concentration, with benefit observed down to levels below 20 mg/dL.⁶ Reflecting this relationship, some

international guidelines endorse aggressive LDL-C lowering in very high-risk populations. The European Atherosclerosis Society recommends an LDL-C goal of <40 mg/dL for patients with recurrent events, while the Lipid Association of India advocates for a target <30 mg/dL in individuals classified as extreme risk.^{22,23}

The crucial message for patients is to emphasize the goal of lipid-lowering therapy as an important mechanism to reduce ASCVD risk. Specifically:

- LDL-C is the building block for atheroma formation (arterial plaque) and

Adult Populations	Desirable LDL-C
Optimal LDL-C for Healthy Adults ⁴	< 100 mg/dL
Patients with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No clinical ASCVD and baseline LDL-C \geq190 mg/dL • Calculated 10-year ASCVD risk of 7.5-19.9% by American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology pooled cohort equation 	< 100 mg/dL
High-Risk CVD patients, ¹² including those with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Familial Hypercholesterolemia, without a prior event • Type 2 diabetes mellitus • Clinical ASCVD without other high-risk features • Primary prevention with an estimated 10-year risk of an event \geq 20% in pooled cohort risk calculation • Coronary artery calcium score >100 AU or \geq75th percentile of the score distribution for age and sex 	< 70 mg/dL
Very High-Risk CVD Patients, ¹² including those with multiple major events or with multiple high-risk conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major ASCVD Events: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Recent acute coronary syndrome (within past 12 months) ◦ History of myocardial infarction (other than recent acute coronary syndrome event listed above) ◦ History of ischemic stroke ◦ Symptomatic peripheral arterial disease (history of claudication with ABI <0.85 or previous revascularization) • High-Risk Conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Age \geq65 years ◦ Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia ◦ History of prior coronary artery bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention outside of the major ASCVD event(s) ◦ Diabetes mellitus ◦ Hypertension ◦ Chronic kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate 15-59 mL/min/1.73m²) ◦ Current smoking ◦ Persistently elevated LDL-C (LDL-C \geq100 mg/dL despite maximally tolerated statin therapy and ezetimibe) ◦ History of congestive heart failure 	< 55 mg/dL

Figure 2. Desirable LDL-C treatment thresholds based on cardiovascular risk.^{4,12}

Abbreviations: ABI, ankle brachial index; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; AU, Agatston units; CVD, cardiovascular disease; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Foods & Components to Emphasize	Foods & Components to Limit	Examples of Healthy Dietary Patterns
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fruit, with emphasis on whole fruit • Vegetables, especially colorful vegetables • Whole grains • Healthy protein sources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Nut, seeds, legumes/pulses ◦ Fish & other seafood ◦ Low-fat or fat-free dairy products ◦ Lean cuts of meat or poultry • Non-tropical, plant oils 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Foods high in saturated & trans fatty acids <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Animal fats ◦ Tropical oils • Processed meats • Refined grains & added sugars • Highly processed foods • Foods with high sodium content • Alcohol 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mediterranean • DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) • Healthy vegetarian/vegan • Healthy U.S. style

Figure 3. Modified from “*Nutrition Interventions for Adults with Dyslipidemia: A Clinical Perspective from the National Lipid Association*,” showing dietary patterns that are either favorable or detrimental to lipids and overall health.¹⁷

Statin Medicines		
Medicine		Anticipated* % LDL-C Reduction
Low-Intensity Statins		<30%
<input type="checkbox"/> Simvastatin 10 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Lovastatin 20 mg	
<input type="checkbox"/> Pravastatin 10-20 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Fluvastatin 20-40 mg	
Moderate-Intensity Statins		
<input type="checkbox"/> Atorvastatin 10-20 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Pravastatin 40-80 mg	30-49%
<input type="checkbox"/> Rosuvastatin 5-10 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Pitavastatin 1-4 mg	
<input type="checkbox"/> Simvastatin 20-40 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Fluvastatin XL 80	
<input type="checkbox"/> Lovastatin 40-80 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Fluvastatin 40 mg (twice daily)	
High-Intensity Statins		
<input type="checkbox"/> Atorvastatin 40-80 mg	<input type="checkbox"/> Rosuvastatin 20-40 mg	≥50%

Figure 4. Statin medications categorized by intensity and anticipated reduction in LDL-C.⁴

Abbreviation: LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

- *Reducing plasma LDL-C* allows healing and regression of atherosclerotic plaque burden, and thus improves outcomes related to ASCVD morbidity and mortality.

Find a lipid specialist

Mitigating ASCVD risk involves a multifaceted approach that stresses the importance of a medical team. A certified Lipid Specialist brings specialized training in complex lipid management, enabling comprehensive evaluation, treatment, and ongoing monitoring. They collaborate with the primary care team and engage essential teammates to ensure coordinated and effective care. Key aspects of care include:

- Diagnosis and management of the underlying causes of hyperlipidemia
- Assessment of ASCVD risk
- Identification and management of comorbidities and risk-enhancing factors
- Optimal treatment:
 - Thoughtful recommendation of appropriate drug therapies

- Assessment of medical protocols potentially adversely affecting lipid profile results
- Escalation of treatment as needed through dose adjustments of statins, transitions to higher-potency statins, or introduction of non-statin adjunctive or monotherapy options

The National Lipid Association defines a Lipid Specialist as a healthcare professional certified by the American Board of Clinical Lipidology (ABCL) specializing in the identification and management of dyslipidemias and related metabolic disorders which lead to ASCVD and other morbidities (Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5).

The ABCL offers the only certification of its kind for licensed physicians, Advanced Practice Professionals, and other healthcare professionals in the United States and Canada. Physicians designated as Diplomates of the American Board of Clinical Lipidology and other healthcare professionals designated as Clinical Lipid Specialists (CLS) demonstrate their commitment to improving the care for patients with dyslipidemia and related comorbidities.²⁴ To

Non-Statins Medicines to Add to Statin Therapy for Further LDL-C Lowering		
Medicine	Anticipated % LDL-C Reduction	MACE Benefit
<input type="checkbox"/> Ezetimibe	15-25%	Yes
Bempedoic Acid		
<input type="checkbox"/> Bempedoic acid	14-21%	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Bempedoic acid + ezetimibe	30-47%	Yes
PCSK9 Inhibitor		
<input type="checkbox"/> Alirocumab 75 mg Q2W^	47%	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Alirocumab 150 mg Q2W^	58%	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Evolocumab 140 mg Q2W^	60%	Yes
<input type="checkbox"/> Inclisiran	50%	Pending

Figure 5. Nonstatin therapies categorized by anticipated reduction in LDL-C and their impact on MACE in randomized controlled trials.¹²

Abbreviations: LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Q2W^, every 2 weeks; PCSK9: proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; MACE: major adverse cardiovascular event.

find a lipid specialist, visit <https://www.learnyourlipids.com/find-a-clinician/>.

Conclusion

In conclusion, LDL-C is a well-established causal factor for the development of ASCVD that should be monitored in a timely manner and may be modified through both lifestyle and pharmaceutical interventions.

Remember, for LDL-C: *lower for longer is better*.

Note, measurement and management of LDL-C does not lessen the importance of attention to other elements of the lipid profile, including lipoprotein (a), triglycerides, and very low-density lipoproteins, as well as management of other modifiable ASCVD risk factors.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Elizabeth J. Jackson: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. **Kaye-Eileen Willard:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. **Christie M. Ballantyne:** Writing – review & editing.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Li Z, Yang Y, Wang X, et al. Comparative analysis of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease burden between ages 20–54 and over 55 years: insights from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *BMC Med.* 2024;22(1):303.
- Xu D, Xie L, Cheng C, Xue F, Sun C. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and cardiovascular diseases. *Front Endocrinol.* 2024;15:1409653.
- Ofori-Asenso R, Zoungas S, Tonkin A, Liew D. LDL-cholesterol is the only clinically relevant biomarker for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk. *Clin Pharmacol Therap.* 2018;104(2):235–238.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2019;139(25):e1082–e1143.
- Virani Salim S, et al. The importance of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol measurement and control as performance measures: a joint clinical perspective from the National Lipid Association and the American Society for Preventive Cardiology. *Am J Prevent Cardiol.* 2023;13:235–238 100472.
- Sabatine MS, Wiviott SD, Im K, Murphy SA, Giugliano RP. Efficacy and safety of further lowering of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients starting with very low levels: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2018;3(9):823–828.

7. Karagiannis Angelos D, et al. How low is safe? The frontier of very low (<30 Mg/dL) LDL cholesterol. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(22):2154–2169.
8. Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139(10):e56–e528.
9. Soffer Daniel E, et al. Role of apolipoprotein B in the clinical management of cardiovascular risk in adults: an expert clinical consensus from the National Lipid Association. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2024;18(5):e647–e663.
10. Silverman MG, Ference BA, Im K, et al. Association between lowering LDL-C and cardiovascular risk reduction among different therapeutic interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Jama*. 2016;316(12):1289–1297.
11. Wilson DP, et al. Universal cholesterol screening of children in community-based ambulatory pediatric clinics. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2015;9(5) S88–S92.
12. Lloyd-Jones D, Morris P, et al. 2022 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on the role of nonstatin therapies for LDL-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. *JACC*. 2022;80(14):1366–1418.
13. Lee Jooho, Hegele Robert A. Abetalipoproteinemia and homozygous hypobetalipoproteinemia: a framework for diagnosis and management. *J Inherit Metab Dis*. 2014;37:333–339.
14. Ho WY, Hartmann H, Ling S-C. Central nervous system cholesterol metabolism in health and disease. *IUBMB Life*. 2022;74(8):826–841.
15. Khatiwada N, Hong Z. Potential benefits and risks associated with the use of statins. *Pharmaceutics*. 2024;16(2):214.
16. Rippe JM. The academic basis of lifestyle medicine. *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2024;18(4):497–511.
17. Kirkpatrick CF, Sikand G, Petersen KS, et al. Nutrition interventions for adults with dyslipidemia: a clinical perspective from the National Lipid Association. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2023;17(4):428–451.
18. Mihaylova B, Emberson J, et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with statin therapy in people at low risk of vascular disease: meta-analysis of individual data from 27 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9841):581–590.
19. Cheeley MK, Saseen JJ, Agarwala A, et al. NLA scientific statement on statin intolerance: a new definition and key considerations for ASCVD risk reduction in the statin intolerant patient. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2022;16(4):361–375. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2022.05.068.
20. Haynes R, Valdes-Marquez E, Hopewell JC, et al. Serious adverse effects of extended-release niacin/laropiprant: results from the Heart Protection Study 2—Treatment of HDL to reduce the incidence of vascular events (HPS2-THRIVE) trial. *Clin Therap*. 2019;41(9):1767–1777.
21. Rosenson RS, Hegele RA, Kopecky SL, Baum SJ. The evolving role of bile acid sequestrants in lipid-lowering therapy. *J Clin Lipidol*. 2022;16(1):4–13. doi:10.1016/j.jacl.2021.11.002.
22. Mach F, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk: the Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(1):111–188.
23. Puri R, et al. Navigating cardiovascular risk and Lipid management in Indian patients: key messages from the Lipid Association of India 2024 consensus Statement IV. *J Assoc Phys India*. 2024;72(8):80–82.
24. American Board of Clinical Lipidology (ABCL). “Find a specialist.” *LipidBoard.org*, <https://www.lipidboard.org/find-a-diplomate/>. Accessed May 4, 2025.