Colorectal Cancer Screening and Prevention

Thad Wilkins, MD, MBA; Danielle McMechan, MD; and Asif Talukder, MD

Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University, Augusta, Georgia

Colorectal cancer is a common cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States. Most colorectal cancers arise from preexisting adenomatous or serrated polyps. The incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer can be reduced with screening of average-risk adults 50 to 75 years of age. Randomized controlled trials show evidence of reduced colorectal cancer-specific mortality with quaiac-based fecal occult blood tests and flexible sigmoidoscopy. There are no randomized controlled trials on the effectiveness of colonoscopy to reduce colorectal cancer-specific mortality; however, several randomized controlled trials comparing colonoscopy with other strategies are in progress. The best available evidence supporting colonoscopy is from prospective cohort studies that demonstrate decreased incidence of colorectal cancer and colorectal cancer-related mortality in individuals undergoing colonoscopy. Other screening options include fecal immunochemical testing, computed tomographic colonography, and multitargeted stool DNA testing combined with fecal immunochemical testing. There is good evidence that aspirin, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, and hormone therapy decrease the risk of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, but potential harms limit their usefulness. There is good evidence that calcium supplementation, moderate dairy consumption, reduced red meat consumption, increased physical activity, decreased body mass index, and statin use decrease the risk of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps. Although increased alcohol intake and tobacco use are associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer, there is no direct evidence that reducing alcohol consumption or smoking cessation decreases the risk. (Am Fam Physician. 2018;97(10):658-665. Copyright © 2018 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer and cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States. Most CRCs are caused by adenomatous or serrated polyps as a result of sporadic mutations or DNA mismatch repair. CRC screening reduces mortality by removing adenomatous and serrated polyps or by early detection of CRC; however, only 62% of eligible persons were up-to-date on CRC screening, according to the 2015 National Health Interview Survey. As the control of the 2015 National Health Interview Survey.

Screening Guidelines

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends CRC screening for average-risk adults 50 to 75 years of age.³ The decision to screen adults 76 to 85 years of age

should be individualized based on overall health, previous screening, willingness to undergo treatment for CRC if found, and comorbid conditions.³ Other guidelines are generally consistent (*Table 1*).^{3,5-9}

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recently approved a new serology test to detect circulating methylated SEPT9 DNA (Epi proColon), which is found in some CRCs.³ A prospective study of 7,941 individuals found poor sensitivity (48%) with this test,¹⁰ and it is not recommended by any U.S. cancer screening guidelines.

Stool-Based Screening

Stool-based screening is quick, noninvasive, and can be done in the home.³ Stool-based screening methods include

guaiac-based fecal occult blood test (gFOBT), fecal immunochemical test (FIT), and multitargeted stool DNA test (FIT-DNA).³ Of these, FIT-DNA is significantly more expensive than the other two.

gFOBT is the most common stoolbased test for CRC screening worldwide. A 2013 Cochrane review of four randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Additional content at https://www.aafp.org/afp/2018/0515/p658.html.

 $\label{lem:seerelated} \textbf{See related Editorials} \ at \ https://www.aafp.org/afp/2017/0515/p616.html \ and \ https://www.aafp.org/afp/2017/0515/p618.html.$

CME This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz on page 634.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

Patient information: A handout on this topic, written by the authors of this article, is available at https://www.aafp.org/2018/0515/p658-s1.html.

TABLE 1

Summary of Colorectal Cancer Screening Recommendations for Average-Risk Persons*

Organization	Recommendations	
American Academy of Family Physicians ⁵	Screening for CRC with FIT, flexible sigmoidoscopy, or colonoscopy should start at 50 years of age and continue until 75 years of age.	
	The decision to screen for CRC in adults 76 to 85 years of age should be individualized, taking into account the patient's overall health and screening history.	
	Screening for CRC is not recommended in adults older than 85 years.	
American Cancer Society ⁶	Starting at 50 years of age, men and women at average risk of developing CRC should use one of the following screening tests:	
	Tests that detect polyps and cancer:	
	Flexible sigmoidoscopy every five years	
	Colonoscopy every 10 years	
	Double-contrast barium enema every five years	
	Computed tomographic colonography every five years	
	Tests that mainly detect cancer:	
	gFOBT every year	
	FIT every year	
	Stool FIT-DNA test every three years	
American College of	The preferred test is colonoscopy every 10 years, beginning at 50 years of age.	
Gastroenterology ⁷	Screening should begin at 45 years of age in blacks.	
	FIT should be offered to patients who decline colonoscopy.	
Canadian Task Force on Preventive	Screening for CRC should start at 50 years of age and continue until 74 years of age using stool-based tests or direct visualization tests.	
Health Care ⁸	Stool-based test: gFOBT or FIT every two years	
	Direct visualization test: Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years	
	Colonoscopy is not recommended as a screening test for CRC.	
U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Col- orectal Cancer ⁹	Screening for CRC should begin at 50 years of age in average-risk persons; however, limited evidence supports screening beginning at 45 years of age in blacks. Discontinue screening at 75 years of age or in individuals who have a life expectancy less than 10 years.	
	First-tier recommendation: Colonoscopy every 10 years or annual FIT	
	Second-tier recommendation: Computed tomographic colonography every five years, FIT-DNA test every three years, or flexible sigmoidoscopy every five to 10 years	
	Third-tier recommendation: Capsule colonoscopy every five years	
U.S. Preventive Ser-	Screening for CRC should start at 50 years of age and continue until 75 years of age.	
vices Task Force ³	Stool-based tests:	
	gFOBT every year	
	FIT every year	
	FIT-DNA test every one or three years	
	Direct visualization tests:	
	Colonoscopy every 10 years	
	Computed tomographic colonography every five years	
	Flexible sigmoidoscopy every five years	
	Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years with FIT every year	

^{*-}An average-risk adult is defined as an asymptomatic person without a personal or family history of adenomatous polyps or other illness that predisposes to CRC (e.g., inflammatory bowel disease, familial adenomatous polyposis, hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer).

Information from references 3, and 5 through 9.

BEST PRACTICES IN PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

Recommendations from the Choosing Wisely Campaign

Recommendation Sponsoring organization Do not repeat colorectal cancer screening (by any American method) for 10 years after a high-quality colonoscopy is Gastroenterological negative in average-risk individuals. Association Avoid colorectal screening tests on asymptomatic patients American College of with a life expectancy of less than 10 years and no family Surgeons or personal history of colorectal neoplasia. Do not recommend screening for breast, colorectal, pros-American Geriatrics tate, or lung cancers without considering life expectancy and the risks of testing, overdiagnosis, and overtreatment.

Source: For more information on the Choosing Wisely Campaign, see http://www.choosing wisely.org. For supporting citations and to search Choosing Wisely recommendations relevant to primary care, see https://www.aafp.org/afp/recommendations/search.htm.

involving 329,642 individuals concluded that, compared with no screening, gFOBT screening reduced CRC-specific mortality after 11 to 30 years of follow-up (number needed to screen = 1,000).¹¹ gFOBT has fair sensitivity (62% to 79%) but good specificity (87% to 96%) for detecting CRC (positive likelihood ratio [LR+] = 4.7 to 19.8; negative likelihood ratio [LR-] = 0.2 to 0.4).³ Screening with gFOBT should be performed yearly.³ Individuals must submit three stool samples collected at home, and avoid hemecontaining foods before the test. Office-based gFOBT is not recommended for CRC screening.

A 2014 meta-analysis of 19 cohort studies found that FIT is more sensitive and specific than gFOBT for detecting CRC.¹² FIT also has the advantages of not requiring any dietary restrictions and needing only a single stool sample. One RCT found that screening with immunochemical fecal occult blood testing had no significant impact on CRC mortality (relative risk = 0.88; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.72 to 1.07).¹³ FIT has good sensitivity (73% to 88%) and excellent specificity (91% to 95%) for detecting CRC (LR+ = 8.1 to 17.6; LR- = 0.1 to 0.3).³ Screening with FIT also should be performed yearly.³

A FIT-DNA assay (ColoGuard) combines FIT with testing for altered DNA biomarkers. A prospective study of 9,989 persons 50 to 84 years of age who underwent screening colonoscopy found that FIT-DNA was more sensitive (92% vs. 74%) but less specific (90% vs. 96%) than FIT alone for detecting CRC. However, both FIT and FIT-DNA have poor sensitivity (24% and 42%, respectively) for detecting adenomatous polyps and serrated polyps measuring 1 cm or greater. Screening with FIT-DNA should

be performed every one to three years, but it is reimbursed by Medicare only every three years.³

Direct Visualization Screening

Direct visualization tests, which include colonoscopy, computed tomographic colonography (CTC; also called virtual colonoscopy), and flexible sigmoidoscopy, allow for the identification of adenomatous polyps and serrated polyps before their natural progression to CRC. Polyps found during colonoscopy can be removed during the procedure, whereas polyps found using other methods require follow-up colonoscopy. Harms may occur during bowel preparation (e.g., dehydration, electrolyte imbalances), sedation or anesthesia for colonoscopy

(e.g., cardiovascular events), or the procedure itself (e.g., colonic perforations, bleeding).

Colonoscopy, the most widely used test for CRC screening in the United States, is typically performed in a hospital-based endoscopy suite or an ambulatory surgical center. It involves complete bowel preparation (e.g., polyethylene glycol [Golytely]), and is typically performed with sedation. There are no RCTs on colonoscopy to reduce CRC-specific mortality; however, several RCTs comparing colonoscopy with FIT or no screening are in progress. ^{16,17} A 2013 prospective cohort study of 88,902 participants in the Nurses' Health Study reported a 27% decreased incidence of CRC and a 68% decrease in mortality from CRC in individuals who had undergone a colonoscopy. ¹⁸ Screening with colonoscopy is recommended every 10 years in average-risk persons with normal findings and good bowel preparation. ³

Serious risks of colonoscopy include cardiopulmonary complications (0.9%), bowel perforation (less than 0.1%), hemorrhage (0.1% to 0.6%), infection (less than 0.1%), and postpolypectomy syndrome (2.9%). Postpolypectomy syndrome is characterized by abdominal pain, leukocytosis, and peritoneal inflammation in the absence of perforation after polypectomy with electrocoagulation; it may occur up to two weeks after polypectomy. A systematic review of six studies with 465 individuals found that the miss rate after colonoscopy was 2.1% for adenomatous polyps greater than 10 mm and 26% for adenomatous polyps 1 to 5 mm. A population-based cohort study of 12,487 individuals reported the miss rate was 2% to 6% for CRCs.

CTC is an alternative screening test, but supporting evidence is limited to studies of its test characteristics.

Radiation exposure from a single CTC is equivalent to 70 chest radiographs.^{22,23} When used for screening, CTC requires bowel preparation but not administration of intravenous contrast media. A 2011 meta-analysis of five RCTs or cohort studies comparing CTC with colonoscopy in average-risk adults 50 years and older found that CTC has high sensitivity for adenomatous polyps greater than 10 mm.²⁴ Extracolonic findings have been reported in up to 70% of examinations, which is a potential harm because only 3% of these findings require treatment.³ CTC has good sensitivity (67% to 94%) and very good to excellent specificity (86% to 98%) for detecting adenomatous polyps and serrated polyps measuring 1 cm or greater (LR+ = 4.8 to 47; LR- = 0.06 to 0.4).³ Screening with CTC is recommended every five years.³

Flexible sigmoidoscopy may be performed in the office without sedation, but it requires bowel preparation. A 2013 Cochrane review of five RCTs with 414,754 individuals evaluated the effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy in average-risk adults compared with no screening or with usual care.11 This review found that, compared with no screening, flexible sigmoidoscopy lowers CRC mortality by 28% (95% CI, 21% to 35%).11 A meta-analysis of five RCTs concluded that flexible sigmoidoscopy reduces the incidence of CRC (number needed to screen = 361 to prevent one case of CRC) and reduces CRC mortality (number needed to screen = 850).²⁵ One RCT including 98,792 individuals comparing flexible sigmoidoscopy plus gFOBT with flexible sigmoidoscopy alone concluded that there was no benefit to adding gFOBT in terms of CRC incidence or mortality.26 A pooled analysis of three large RCTs including 287,928 individuals found that flexible sigmoidoscopy reduced the incidence of CRC and CRC mortality in men and in women younger than 60 years; however, this study concluded that alternative screening methods should be considered in women older than 60 years.27 The USPSTF recommends screening with flexible sigmoidoscopy every five years.3

Choosing a Test: Benefits, Harms, Burdens, and Costs

Family physicians can help their patients choose a test for CRC screening by reviewing test characteristics, benefits, harms, burdens, and costs. In addition to the advantages and disadvantages of each test, physicians must consider patient preference, comorbidities, test availability, likelihood that the test will be completed, and availability of resources for follow-up of abnormal test results. *Tables 2 and 3*³ provide an overview of the tests recommended by the USPSTF. All approaches are thought to significantly decrease deaths caused by CRC (between 20 and 24 per 1,000 persons

screened, depending on the test), although complication rates and lifetime colonoscopy burden vary considerably.

Prevention

eTable A summarizes colon cancer prevention strategies, including medications, lifestyle factors, and diet.

ASPIRIN

Although aspirin use decreases CRC incidence by 40%, a 2016 USPSTF guideline including three RCTs recommended against aspirin use in the average-risk population because of the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding and hemorrhagic stroke. Ref. Individuals 50 to 59 years of age with a 10-year cardiovascular event risk of at least 10% who are willing to take aspirin for at least 10 years (i.e., the time it takes to accrue the cancer prevention benefit) may benefit from aspirin use for CRC risk reduction. A 2017 systematic review with meta-analysis found that the effect of aspirin was similar to FOBT and flexible sigmoidoscopy for reducing CRC incidence and mortality, and aspirin was more effective for cancers in the proximal colon. Personners of the superior of the proximal colon.

NONSTEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS AND CYCLOOXYGENASE-2 INHIBITORS

Studies show that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors decrease the risk of CRC and adenomatous polyps; however, an increased risk of adverse effects outweighs potential benefits for most patients.³⁰⁻³³

LIFESTYLE FACTORS

Although studies have found an association between CRC and increased alcohol intake, ^{34,35} there is no direct evidence that decreasing alcohol consumption decreases the risk of CRC, adenomatous polyps, or serrated polyps. Cigarette smoking is associated with an increased risk of CRC and adenomatous polyps; a 2008 meta-analysis found that current smokers were more than twice as likely to develop high-risk adenomatous polyps or CRC. ³⁶ However, there is a lack of evidence that smoking cessation decreases the risk of CRC, adenomatous polyps, or serrated polyps.

Reduction of body mass index may decrease the risk of CRC. A 2007 meta-analysis found an association between increasing body mass index and CRC,³⁷ and a 2014 meta-analysis found that bariatric surgery was associated with a 27% decreased risk of CRC compared with individuals with obesity who did not undergo the surgery.³⁸ Higher body mass index is also a risk factor for CRC mortality (hazard ratio = 1.03; 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.05).³⁹

Increased physical activity is also associated with reduced risk of CRC. A 2017 meta-analysis showed that increased

TABLE 2

FIT

Flexible

Guaiac-based

fecal occult

82274

45330

82270

Description	CPT code	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost*
Colonoscopy	45378	Ability to detect and remove polyps Visualizes the entire colon	Requires comprehensive bowel preparation Takes 20 to 30 minutes plus recovery time Patient may not drive or return to work if sedation is given	\$1,700
Computed tomographic colonography	74261	10- to 15-minute examination Noninvasive imaging of entire colon Sedation is not required Patient may drive and return to work after procedure	Requires bowel preparation similar to colonoscopy Requires rectal tube to insufflate air into colon, which may cause cramping Exposure to radiation May miss small or flat polyps Detection of extracolonic findings may lead to additional testing	\$500

sigmoidoscopy colonoscopy Only visualizes the distal third of colon
Takes about 10 minutes to complete
Most patients may drive and return
to work after procedure
Only visualizes the distal third of colon
Not typically done with sedation
Positive test result requires colonoscopy

At-home single stool sample

Not affected by diet or medications

Safer and more convenient than

Easy, safe, and convenient

At-home stool collection

Easy, safe, and convenient

Advantages, Disadvantages, and Costs of Colorectal Screening Tests

blood test

Requires three samples

Positive test result requires colonoscopy

Multitargeted 81528 At-home stool collection Expensive compared with other stool-

stool DNA test
(FIT-DNA)
Easy, safe, and convenient
Does not require dietary restrictions or bowel preparation

Easy, safe, and convenient
Does not require dietary restrictions or bowel preparation

Does not require dietary restrictions

CPT = Current Procedural Terminology; FIT = fecal immunochemical test; NA = not available.

occupational and recreational activity were associated with a reduced risk of CRC.⁴⁰

DIET

Although there is an association between increased fat intake and the risk of serrated polyps, there is no evidence that low-fat diets decrease risk. An RCT including 48,835 postmenopausal women 50 to 75 years of age found that women assigned to a low-fat diet did not have a lower risk of CRC than those assigned to usual diets after an eight-year follow-up.⁴¹

There is conflicting evidence on coffee consumption and the risk of CRC. The largest, most recent, and best-designed study is the Women's Health Initiative with 83,778 individuals. It found that moderate and high coffee intake (four or more cups per day) were associated with a small increase in the risk of CRC (hazard ratio = 1.14 to 1.15). 42 However, another large meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies including 2,046,575 individuals found that the risk of colon cancer was decreased by 7% for every four cups of coffee consumed per day (relative risk = 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88 to 0.99; P = .199). 43 Moderate intake of dairy (8 oz or more per day) is associated with a small decrease in the risk of CRC based on a 2004 meta-analysis. 44 Because of processing, it is difficult to separate data on dairy products from data on vitamin D and calcium.

Positive test result requires colonoscopy

Positive test result requires colonoscopy

Requires bowel preparation with enemas

NA

\$1.000

\$10

NA

Must be repeated annually

Must be repeated annually

Requires dietary restrictions

Two Cochrane reviews found that increasing fiber intake did not lower the risk of CRC or recurrence of adenomatous polyps. 45,46 However, a reduction in red meat consumption is associated with a decreased risk of CRC. Previous meta-analyses found a modest increase in the risk of CRC associated with increased red meat consumption. 47,48

^{*—}Estimated price per procedure based on information obtained at https://www.healthcarebluebook.com. Accessed December 18, 2017.

OTHER MEDICATIONS AND VITAMINS

Antioxidants should not be recommended to decrease the risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps. A 2013 meta-analysis of 20 RCTs including 268,590 individuals found that, compared with no treatment or placebo, there was no benefit of antioxidant use for reducing the risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps.49

Calcium supplementation decreases the risk of adenomatous polyps and is associated with a decreased risk of CRC in observational studies. A 2005 Cochrane review identified two randomized trials with 1,346 participants, and found a significant reduction in recurrent adenomatous polyps with calcium supplementation (odds ratio = 0.74; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.95).50 A 2016 analysis of two cohort studies demonstrated that total calcium intake of at least 1,400 mg per day compared with less than 600 mg per day was associated with a 22% reduced risk of CRC.51 A 2017 meta-analysis that examined the effect of calcium on serrated polyps found no statistically significant effect.52

There is no evidence to support folic acid supplementation to decrease the risk of adenomatous polyps.53 Although oral hormone therapy has been associated with a decreased risk of CRC in a casecontrol study, the harms outweigh potential benefits, and routine use is not

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	References
Colorectal cancer screening is recommended in average-risk adults 50 to 75 years of age.	А	3
Randomized controlled trials show evidence of reduced colorectal cancer–specific mortality with guaiac-based fecal occult blood testing (number needed to screen = 1,000) and flexible sigmoidoscopy screening (number needed to screen = 850).	A	11, 25
Aspirin, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, and hormone therapy decrease the risk of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps; however, increased risks of adverse effects outweigh potential benefits for most patients.	В	28, 30-32, 52, 54
Calcium supplementation, increased dairy consumption, reduced red meat consumption, increased physical activity, decreased body mass index, and statin use are associated with a lower risk of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, although most of the evidence is from observational studies.	С	37, 40, 44, 47, 48, 50-52, 55, 56
There is no evidence that antioxidants, fiber, or folic acid decreases the risk of colorectal cancer or adenomatous polyps.	В	45, 46, 49, 53

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to https://www.aafp.org/afpsort.

TABLE 3

Benefits, Harms, and Burdens of Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests **Recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force**

Test and interval	Years of life gained per 1,000 persons screened	Colorectal cancer deaths prevented per 1,000 per- sons screened	Complications per 1,000 per- sons screened	Lifetime colo- noscopies per person screened
Colonoscopy every 10 years	270	24	15	4.0
Computed tomographic colonography every five years	248	22	10	1.7
FIT every year	244	22	10	1.8
FIT-DNA every year	261	23	12	2.7
FIT-DNA every three years	226	20	9	1.7
Flexible sigmoidoscopy every five years	221	20	10	1.8
Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years plus FIT every year	256	23	12	2.3
Guaiac-based fecal occult blood test every year	247	22	11	2.3

FIT = fecal immunochemical test; FIT-DNA = multitargeted stool DNA test.

Information from reference 3.

recommended.⁵⁴ A 2017 meta-analysis did not find a statistically significant association between hormone therapy and the risk of serrated polyps.⁵²

There is some evidence from observational studies that statin use is associated with a lower risk of advanced adenomatous polyps and CRC. However, data from RCTs are lacking. ^{55,56} Finally, there is conflicting evidence whether vitamin D supplementation decreases the risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps. A 2011 USPSTF report found no change in CRC risk in patients taking a vitamin D supplement. ⁵⁷

This article updates previous articles on this topic by Short, et al.⁵⁸; Wilkins and Reynolds⁵⁹; and Pignone and Levin.⁶⁰

Data Sources: A clinical librarian completed a general PubMed search using the following MeSH terms: mass screening, colonoscopy, colorectal neoplasms, adenomatous polyp, colorectal cancer, primary prevention, and secondary prevention. These terms were also used as key words in a number of combinations. The search included meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials, and practice guidelines within the previous 20 years, and was expanded to reviews and clinical trials where needed. Reviews were hand-searched for further articles. Also searched were the Cochrane database and Essential Evidence Plus. Search dates: October 2016 and December 2017.

The Authors

THAD WILKINS, MD, MBA, FAAFP, is director of academic development and a professor in the Department of Family Medicine at the Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University.

DANIELLE McMECHAN, MD, is in private practice in Augusta. At the time the article was submitted, Dr. McMechan was a third-year resident in the Department of Family Medicine at the Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University.

ASIF TALUKDER, MD, is a third-year resident in the Department of Surgery at the Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University.

Address correspondence to Thad Wilkins, MD, MBA, FAAFP, Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University, 1120 15th St., Augusta, GA 30912 (e-mail: jwilkins@augusta.edu). Reprints are not available from the authors.

References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2016;66(1):7-30.
- Calderwood AH, Lasser KE, Roy HK. Colon adenoma features and their impact on risk of future advanced adenomas and colorectal cancer. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2016;8(12):826-834.
- Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement [published corrections appear in *JAMA*. 2017;317(21):2239, and *JAMA*. 2016;316(5):545]. *JAMA*. 2016;315(23):2564-2575.
- 4. White A, Thompson TD, White MC, et al. Cancer screening test use United States, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66(17):201-206.

- American Academy of Family Physicians. Clinical preventive service recommendation. Colorectal cancer screening, adults. https://www. aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/colorectal-cancer. html. Accessed December 8, 2017.
- American Cancer Society. Recommendations for colorectal cancer early detection. https://www.cancer.org/content/cancer/en/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/acs-recommendations.html. Accessed December 8, 2017.
- Rex DK, Johnson DA, Anderson JC, Schoenfeld PS, Burke CA, Inadomi JM. American College of Gastroenterology guidelines for colorectal cancer screening 2009 [published correction appears in Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(6):1613]. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(3):739-750.
- Bacchus CM, Dunfield L, Gorber SC, et al.; Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Recommendations on screening for colorectal cancer in primary care. CMAJ. 2016;188(5):340-348.
- Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: recommendations for physicians and patients from the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017; 112(7):1016-1030.
- Church TR, Wandell M, Lofton-Day C, et al.; PRESEPT Clinical Study Steering Committee, Investigators and Study Team. Prospective evaluation of methylated SEPT9 in plasma for detection of asymptomatic colorectal cancer. Gut. 2014;63(2):317-325.
- Holme Ø, Bretthauer M, Fretheim A, Odgaard-Jensen J, Hoff G. Flexible sigmoidoscopy versus faecal occult blood testing for colorectal cancer screening in asymptomatic individuals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;(9):CD009259.
- 12. Lee JK, Liles EG, Bent S, Levin TR, Corley DA. Accuracy of fecal immunochemical tests for colorectal cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 2014;160(3):171.
- Zheng S, Chen K, Liu X, et al. Cluster randomization trial of sequence mass screening for colorectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum. 2003;46(1): 51-58.
- Levin B, Lieberman DA, McFarland B, et al. Screening and surveillance for the early detection of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, 2008: a joint guideline from the American Cancer Society, the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer, and the American College of Radiology. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134(5):1570-1595.
- Imperiale TF, Ransohoff DF, Itzkowitz SH, et al. Multitarget stool DNA testing for colorectal-cancer screening. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(14): 1287-1297.
- Quintero E, Castells A, Bujanda L, et al.; COLONPREV Study Investigators. Colonoscopy versus fecal immunochemical testing in colorectal-cancer screening [published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(19):1898]. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(8):697-706.
- Kaminski MF, Bretthauer M, Zauber AG, et al. The NordICC Study: rationale and design of a randomized trial on colonoscopy screening for colorectal cancer. Endoscopy. 2012;44(7):695-702.
- Nishihara R, Wu K, Lochhead P, et al. Long-term colorectal-cancer incidence and mortality after lower endoscopy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369(12):1095-1105.
- 19. Young PE, Womeldorph CM. Colonoscopy for colorectal cancer screening. *J Cancer*. 2013;4(3):217-226.
- van Rijn JC, Reitsma JB, Stoker J, Bossuyt PM, van Deventer SJ, Dekker E. Polyp miss rate determined by tandem colonoscopy: a systematic review. Am J Gastroenterol. 2006;101(2):343-350.
- Bressler B, Paszat LF, Chen Z, Rothwell DM, Vinden C, Rabeneck L. Rates of new or missed colorectal cancers after colonoscopy and their risk factors: a population-based analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2007; 132(1):96-102.
- 22. Lin JS, Piper MA, Perdue LA, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: a systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Evidence synthesis no 135. AHRQ publication no. 14-05203-EF-1. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016.

- 23. Lin JS, Piper MA, Perdue LA, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force [published corrections appear in *JAMA*. 2016;316(13):1412, and *JAMA*. 2016;316(5):545]. *JAMA*. 2016;315(23): 2576-2594.
- de Haan MC, van Gelder RE, Graser A, Bipat S, Stoker J. Diagnostic value of CT-colonography as compared to colonoscopy in an asymptomatic screening population: a meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. 2011;21(8):1747-1763.
- Elmunzer BJ, Hayward RA, Schoenfeld PS, Saini SD, Deshpande A, Waljee AK. Effect of flexible sigmoidoscopy-based screening on incidence and mortality of colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Med.* 2012;9(12): e1001352.
- 26. Holme Ø, Løberg M, Kalager M, et al. Effect of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: a randomized clinical trial [published correction appears in *JAMA*. 2014;312(9):964]. *JAMA*. 2014;312(6):606-615.
- Holme Ø, Schoen RE, Senore C, et al. Effectiveness of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening in men and women and different age groups: pooled analysis of randomised trials. BMJ. 2017;356:i6673.
- 28. Bibbins-Domingo K; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164(12):836-845. 29. Emilsson L, Holme Ø, Bretthauer M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the comparative effectiveness of aspirin vs. screening for colorectal cancer prevention. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2017;45(2):193-204.
- Dulai PS, Singh S, Marquez E, et al. Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer in individuals with previous colorectal neoplasia: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i6188.
- Bertagnolli MM, Eagle CJ, Zauber AG, et al.; APC Study Investigators. Celecoxib for the prevention of sporadic colorectal adenomas. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(9):873-884.
- Baron JA, Sandler RS, Bresalier RS, et al.; APPROVe Trial Investigators. A randomized trial of rofecoxib for the chemoprevention of colorectal adenomas. Gastroenterology. 2006;131(6):1674-1682.
- Thompson PA, Ashbeck EL, Roe DJ, et al. Celecoxib for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: results of a suspended randomized controlled trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016;108(12):pii:djw151.
- 34. Ferrari P, Jenab M, Norat T, et al. Lifetime and baseline alcohol intake and risk of colon and rectal cancers in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). Int J Cancer. 2007;121(9): 2065-2072.
- Wang YM, Zhou QY, Zhu JZ, Zhu KF, Yu CH, Li YM. Systematic review with meta-analysis: alcohol consumption and risk of colorectal serrated polyp. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2015;60(7):1889-1902.
- Botteri E, Iodice S, Raimondi S, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Cigarette smoking and adenomatous polyps: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;134(2):388-395.
- 37. Dai Z, Xu YC, Niu L. Obesity and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2007;13(31):4199-4206.
- Afshar S, Kelly SB, Seymour K, Lara J, Woodcock S, Mathers JC. The effects of bariatric surgery on colorectal cancer risk: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Surg.* 2014;24(10):1793-1799.
- 39. Shaukat A, Dostal A, Menk J, Church TR. BMI is a risk factor for colorectal cancer mortality. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2017;62(9):2511-2517.
- 40. Mahmood S, MacInnis RJ, English DR, Karahalios A, Lynch BM. Domainspecific physical activity and sedentary behaviour in relation to colon and rectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2017;46(6):1797-1813.
- 41. Prentice RL, Thomson CA, Caan B, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and cancer incidence in the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modifica-

- tion Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2007;99(20): 1534-1543.
- Groessl EJ, Allison MA, Larson JC, et al. Coffee consumption and the incidence of colorectal cancer in women. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2016; 2016;6918431.
- 43. Gan Y, Wu J, Zhang S, et al. Association of coffee consumption with risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(12):18699-18711.
- 44. Cho E, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, et al. Dairy foods, calcium, and colorectal cancer: a pooled analysis of 10 cohort studies [published correction appears in *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2004;96(22):1724]. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2004;96(13):1015-1022.
- Asano T, McLeod RS. Dietary fibre for the prevention of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(2): CD003430.
- 46. Yao Y, Suo T, Andersson R, et al. Dietary fibre for the prevention of recurrent colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;(1):CD003430.
- Chan DS, Lau R, Aune D, et al. Red and processed meat and colorectal cancer incidence: meta-analysis of prospective studies. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(6):e20456.
- 48. Norat T, Lukanova A, Ferrari P, Riboli E. Meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: dose-response meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *Int J Cancer.* 2002;98(2):241-256.
- 49. Pais R, Dumitrașcu DL. Do antioxidants prevent colorectal cancer? A meta-analysis. *Rom J Intern Med.* 2013;51(3-4):152-163.
- 50. Weingarten MA, Zalmanovici A, Yaphe J. Dietary calcium supplementation for preventing colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(3):CD003548.
- Zhang X, Keum N, Wu K, et al. Calcium intake and colorectal cancer risk: results from the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. Int J Cancer. 2016;139(10):2232-2242.
- Bailie L, Loughrey MB, Coleman HG. Lifestyle risk factors for serrated colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenter-ology*. 2017;152(1):92-104.
- 53. Cole BF, Baron JA, Sandler RS, et al.; Polyp Prevention Study Group. Folic acid for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2007;297(21):2351-2359.
- 54. Rennert G, Rennert HS, Pinchev M, Lavie O, Gruber SB. Use of hormone replacement therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(27):4542-4547.
- Jung YS, Park CH, Eun CS, Park DI, Han DS. Statin use and the risk of colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016; 31(11):1823-1830.
- Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Statins and the risk of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(9):952-954.
- 57. Chung M, Lee J, Terasawa T, Lau J, Trikalinos TA. Vitamin D with or without calcium supplementation for prevention of cancer and fractures: an updated meta-analysis for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;161(8):615-616]. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;155(12):827-838.
- 58. Short MW, Layton MC, Teer BN, Domagalski JE. Colorectal cancer screening and surveillance. *Am Fam Physician*. 2015;91(2):93-100.
- Wilkins T, Reynolds PL. Colorectal cancer: a summary of the evidence for screening and prevention. Am Fam Physician. 2008;78(12): 1385-1392.
- 60. Pignone M, Levin B. Recent developments in colorectal cancer screening and prevention. *Am Fam Physician*. 2002;66(2):297-303.

BONUS DIGITAL CONTENT

COLORECTAL CANCER

eTABLE A

Factor	Effect	Comment	Evidence
Diet Cholesterol and fat intake	Twofold increased risk of CRC with increased cholesterol intake, and 25% increased risk of serrated polyps with increased fat intake	There is no evidence that reduction in cholesterol or fat intake lowers risk of CRC or serrated polyps	One meta-analysis, one RCT, and one prospective study ^{A1-A3}
Coffee consumption	Conflicting evidence	More research is needed from high-quality trials	One case-control study, one RCT, and one meta-analysis of prospective cohort studes ^{A4-A6}
Dairy intake	15% reduced risk of CRC with more than 8 oz of cow's milk per day	Moderate intake of cow's milk reduced risk of CRC	Meta-analysis of 10 cohort studies ^{A7}
Fiber	Increased fiber intake does not reduce the risk of CRC or recurrent adenomatous polyps	Fiber from different sources was used	Two Cochrane reviews and one meta-analysis of 13 prospective studies ^{A8-A10}
Red meat intake	22% increased risk of CRC with increasing red meat and processed meat intake	For every 3.5 oz of red meat intake per day, there was a 14% increased risk of CRC	Meta-analysis of 13 prospective studies and meta-analysis of 34 case-control studies and 14 cohort studies ^{A11,A12}
Lifestyle			
Alcohol intake	8% increased risk of CRC and 24% increased risk of serrated polyps	Evaluated effect of > 15 g per day of beer, wine, or spirits	Large cohort study (CRC) and meta-analysis of 10 observa- tional studies (serrated polyps)
Cigarette smoking	114% increased risk of high-risk ade- nomatous polyps and CRC in current smokers	Strong association between smoking and high-risk adenomatous polyps and CRC; no direct evidence that smoking cessation decreases risk	Meta-analysis of 42 observa- tional studies ^{A15}
Obesity	Bariatric surgery associated with 27% reduced risk of CRC	Increasing body mass index asso- ciated with increased risk of colon cancer but not rectal cancer	Meta-analysis of four observational studies and a systematic review of 15 cohort studies ^{A16-A18}
Physical activity	26% decreased risk of colon cancer for occupational physical activity, and 20% decreased risk of colon cancer with recreational physical activity 12% decreased risk of rectal cancer for occupational physical activity, and 13% decreased risk of rectal cancer	Demonstrated benefit of increasing occupational and recreational physical activity for reducing the risk of colon and rectal cancers	Meta-analysis of 17 cohort studies and 21 case-control studies ^{A19}
	with recreational physical activity		continues

eTABLE A (continued)

Summary of Factors for Prevention of Colorectal Cancer and Polyps (continued)

Factor	Effect	Comment	Evidence
Medications Antioxidants	No benefit for beta carotene; vita- mins A, C, or E; or selenium	Not recommended to decrease the risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps	Meta-analysis of 20 RCTs ^{A20}
Aspirin	40% reduced risk of CRC	Not recommended in the average-risk population because of the risk of GI bleeding and hemorrhagic stroke	Review of three RCTs ^{A21,A22}
Calcium	26% reduced risk of adenomatous polyps and 22% reduced risk of CRC; no effect for serrated polyps	Calcium use for three to four years is recommended to decrease risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps but not serrated polyps	Cochrane review and meta-analysis ^{A1,A23,A24}
Cyclooxy- genase-2 inhibitors	33% reduction of adenomatous polyps with use of celecoxib, and 35% reduction with use of rofecoxib (withdrawn from the market over safety concerns)	Not recommended secondary to increased risk of GI and cardiovascular events	Two RCTs ^{A25-A27}
Folic acid	Increased folic acid intake does not decrease risk of adenomatous polyps	Study examined effect of folic acid (1 mg per day) compared with placebo	RCT ^{A28}
Hormone therapy	63% reduced risk of CRC but no decreased risk of serrated polyps	Harms outweigh potential benefits, and routine use of hormone therapy is not recommended at this time	Meta-analysis of four studies and one case-control study ^{A1,A29}
Nonsteroidal anti-inflam- matorydrugs	63% decreased risk of CRC	Not recommended secondary to increased risk of GI and cardiovascular events	Meta-analysis of 15 RCTs ^{A30}
Statins	Statin use associated with 17% decreased risk of advanced adenomatous polyps and 50% decreased risk of CRC	Effect observed in individuals who had used a statin for at least five years	Meta-analysis of six studies and one case-control study A31,A32
Vitamin D	Guideline from U.S. Preventive Services Task Force found no benefit in vitamin D supplementation to decrease risk of CRC; a meta-analysis found 50% decreased risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps	Conflicting evidence that vitamin D supplementation decreases risk of CRC or adenomatous polyps	Guideline based on three RCTs and 28 observational studies, and meta-analysis of 18 observational studies ^{A33,A34} continues

CRC = colorectal cancer; GI = gastrointestinal; RCT = randomized controlled trial.

Information from

A1. Bailie L, Loughrey MB, Coleman HG. Lifestyle risk factors for serrated colorectal polyps: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2017;152(1):92-104.

A2. Järvinen R, Knekt P, Hakulinen T, Rissanen H, Heliövaara M. Dietary fat, cholesterol and colorectal cancer in a prospective study. Br J Cancer. 2001;85(3):357-361.

A3. Prentice RL, Thomson CA, Caan B, et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and cancer incidence in the Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification Randomized Controlled Trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007;99(20):1534-1543.

A4. Schmit SL, Rennert HS, Rennert G, Gruber SB. Coffee consumption and the risk of colorectal cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2016;25(4):634-639.

A5. Groessl EJ, Allison MA, Larson JC, et al. Coffee consumption and the incidence of colorectal cancer in women. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2016;2016:6918431.

A6. Gan Y, Wu J, Zhang S, et al. Association of coffee consumption with risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Oncotarget. 2017;8(12):18699-18711.

eTABLE A (continued)

Summary of Factors for Prevention of Colorectal Cancer and Polyps (continued)

A7. Cho E, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, et al. Dairy foods, calcium, and colorectal cancer: a pooled analysis of 10 cohort studies [published correction appears in J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96(22):1724]. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004;96(13):1015-1022.

A8. Asano T, McLeod RS. Dietary fibre for the prevention of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002;(2):CD003430.

A9. Yao Y, Suo T, Andersson R, et al. Dietary fibre for the prevention of recurrent colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;(1):CD003430.

A10. Park Y, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, et al. Dietary fiber intake and risk of colorectal cancer: a pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. JAMA. 2005:294(22):2849-2857.

A11. Chan DS, Lau R, Aune D, et al. Red and processed meat and colorectal cancer incidence: meta-analysis of prospective studies. PLoS One. 2011;6(6):e20456.

A12. Norat T, Lukanova A, Ferrari P, Riboli E. Meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: dose-response meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. Int J Cancer. 2002;98(2):241-256

A13. Ferrari P, Jenab M, Norat T, et al. Lifetime and baseline alcohol intake and risk of colon and rectal cancers in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). Int J Cancer. 2007;121(9):2065-2072.

A14. Wang YM, Zhou QY, Zhu JZ, Zhu KF, Yu CH, Li YM. Systematic review with meta-analysis: alcohol consumption and risk of colorectal serrated polyp. Dig Dis Sci. 2015;60(7):1889-1902.

A15. Botteri E, Iodice S, Raimondi S, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Cigarette smoking and adenomatous polyps: a meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2008;134(2):388-395.

A16. Dai Z, Xu YC, Niu L. Obesity and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. World J Gastroenterol. 2007;13(31):4199-4206.

A17. Afshar S, Kelly SB, Seymour K, Lara J, Woodcock S, Mathers JC. The effects of bariatric surgery on colorectal cancer risk: systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Surg. 2014;24(10):1793-1799.

A18. Shaukat A, Dostal A, Menk J, Church TR. BMI is a risk factor for colorectal cancer mortality. Dig Dis Sci. 2017;62(9):2511-2517.

A19. Mahmood S, MacInnis RJ, English DR, Karahalios A, Lynch BM. Domain-specific physical activity and sedentary behaviour in relation to colon and rectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46(6):1797-1813.

A20. Pais R, Dumitraşcu DL. Do antioxidants prevent colorectal cancer? A meta-analysis. Rom J Intern Med. 2013;51(3-4):152-163.

A21. Bibbins-Domingo K; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164(12):836-845.

A22. Emilsson L, Holme Ø, Bretthauer M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the comparative effectiveness of aspirin vs. screening for colorectal cancer prevention. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017;45(2):193-204.

A23. Weingarten MA, Zalmanovici A, Yaphe J. Dietary calcium supplementation for preventing colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(3):CD003548.

A24. Zhang X, Keum N, Wu K, et al. Calcium intake and colorectal cancer risk: results from the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. Int J Cancer. 2016;139(10):2232-2242.

A25. Bertagnolli MM, Eagle CJ, Zauber AG, et al.; APC Study Investigators. Celecoxib for the prevention of sporadic colorectal adenomas. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(9):873-884.

A26. Baron JA, Sandler RS, Bresalier RS, et al.; APPROVe Trial Investigators. A randomized trial of rofecoxib for the chemoprevention of colorectal adenomas. Gastroenterology. 2006;131(6):1674-1682.

A27. Thompson PA, Ashbeck EL, Roe DJ, et al. Celecoxib for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: results of a suspended randomized controlled trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016;108(12):pii:djw151.

A28. Cole BF, Baron JA, Sandler RS, et al.; Polyp Prevention Study Group. Folic acid for the prevention of colorectal adenomas: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2007;297(21):2351-2359.

A29. Rennert G, Rennert HS, Pinchev M, Lavie O, Gruber SB. Use of hormone replacement therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(27):4542-4547.

A30. Dulai PS, Singh S, Marquez E, et al. Chemoprevention of colorectal cancer in individuals with previous colorectal neoplasia: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ. 2016;355:i6188.

A31. Jung YS, Park CH, Eun CS, Park DI, Han DS. Statin use and the risk of colorectal adenoma: a meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;31(1):1823-1830

A32. Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Statins and the risk of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(9):952-954.

A33. Chung M, Lee J, Terasawa T, Lau J, Trikalinos TA. Vitamin D with or without calcium supplementation for prevention of cancer and fractures: an updated meta-analysis for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2014;161(8):615-616]. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(12):827-838.

A34. Gorham ED, Garland CF, Garland FC, et al. Vitamin D and prevention of colorectal cancer. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2005;97(1-2):179-194.